

Optimizing IgG Therapy in Chronic Autoimmune Neuropathies

Treatment of inflammatory neuropathy with IVIG

IVIG therapy is FDA-approved for CIDP and MMN¹

ICE study²

- Loading dose: 2 g/kg bw •
- Maintenance: 1 g/kg bw every • 3 weeks
- 54% of IVIG group improved vs • 21% of placebo group through week 24 (statistically significant)

M Intravenous immune globulin (10% caprylatechromatography purified) for the treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (ICE study): a randomised placebo-controlled trial

Richard A CHughes, Peter Donofrio, Vera Bril, Marinos C Dalakas, Chungin Deng, Kim Hanna, Hans-Peter Hartung, Norman Latov, Ingemar S J Merkies, Pieter A van Door n, on behalf of the KE Study Group*

Summary

Published Online January 7, 2008 DOI:10.1016/51474-4422/07\70729-0 See Reflection and Reaction page 115 Members listed at end of report Department of Clinical Neuroscience, King's College London, Guy's Hospital, ondon, UK (RA C Hughes MD); epartment of Neurology, Vanderbilt University Nashville, TN, USA (P Donofrio MD); University Health Network, Toronto, ON Canada (V Bril MD): Neuromuscular Diseases Section National Institutes of salth, Bethesda, MD, USA

Lance: Neurol 2008; 7:136-44

(M C Dalakas MD); Talecris Biotherapeutics, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA (C Deng PhD, K HannaMSc)-Department of Neurology Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany (H-P Hartung MD); Peripheral Neuropathy Center, Cornell

Background Short-term studies suggest that intravenous immunoglobulin might reduce disability caused by chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) but long-term effects have not been shown. We aimed to establish whether 10% caprylate-chromatography purified immune globulin intravenous (IGIV-C) has short-term and long-term benefit in patients with CIDP.

Methods 117 patients with CIDP who met specific neurophysiological inflammatory neuropathy cause and treatment (INCAT) criteria participated in a randomised, double blind, placebo-controlled, response-conditional crossover trial. IGIV-C (Gamunex) or placebo was given every 3 weeks for up to 24 weeks in an initial treatment period, and patients who did not show an improvement in INCAT disability score of 1 point or more received the alternate treatment in a crossover period. The primary outcome was the percentage of patients who had maintained an improvement from baseline in adjusted INCAT disability score of 1 point or more through to week 24. Patients who showed an improvement and completed 24 weeks of treatment were eligible to be randomly re-assigned in a blinded 24-week extension phase. Analysis was by intention to treat. This trial is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT00220740.

Findings During the first period, 32 of 59 (54%) patients treated with IGIV-C and 12 of 58 (21%) patients who received placebo had an improvement in adjusted INCAT disability score that was maintained through to week 24 (treatment difference 33-5%, 95% CI 15-4-51-7; p=0-0002). Improvements from baseline to endpoint were also recorded for grip strength in the dominant hand (treatment difference 10.9 kPa, 4.6-17.2; p=0.0008) and the non-dominant hand (8.6 kPa, 2.6-14.6; p=0.005). Results were similar during the crossover period. During the extension phase, participants who continued to receive IGIV-C had a longer time to relapse than did patients treated with placebo (p=0.011). The incidence of serious adverse events per infusion was 0.8% (9/1096) with IGIV-C versus 1.9% (11/575) with placebo. The most common adverse events with IGIV-C were headache, pyrexia, and hypertension.

University, New York, NY, USA Interpretation This study, the largest reported trial of any CIDP treatment, shows the short-term and long-term (N Latov MD); and Department efficacy and safety of IGIV-C and supports use of IGIV-C as a therapy for CIDP. of Neurology, Erasmus MC

bw: bodyweight, CIDP: chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, FDA: Food and Drug Administration, IVIG: intravenous immunoglobulin, MMN: multifocal motor neuropathy

FDA Immune Globulin Intravenous Indications, Available at: 1. http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/ LicensedProductsBLAs/ FractionatedPlasmaProducts/ucm133691.htm. Accessed Mar 2016.





2

IVIG: How should we give it?

 The ICE study used 2 g/kg bw loading with 1 g/kg bw every 3 weeks maintenance

But...

- Prescribing patterns vary widely
- Efficacy of non-ICE trial regimens is unknown

Currently no IVIG dose trials

- Best loading dose?
- Best maintenance dose?
- Best dosing interval?

Patients are different

- IVIG pharmacokinetic variability
- CIDP pathophysiology variability
- Disease activity variability

IVIG treatment-related fluctuations: Wear-off¹



Pharmacokinetics of IVIG

- Infusion of 2 g/kg bw IVIG increases serum IgG level >4 fold¹
 - Pretreatment means of 700 № 1,060 mg/dL to peaks of >3,000 mg/dL^{1,2}
- Serum IgG level drops by approx.
 50% over 48–72 hours^{2,3}
 - IgG is distributed into total extracellular fluid volume,^{4,5} which is about double the intravascular volume²
- After rapid equilibration, IgG is catabolized with first-order kinetics and a half-life of 21–30 days^{2,5}



Typical pharmacokinetic curve of IVIG⁵

- 1. Reinhart WH, Berchtold PE. Lancet. 1992;339(8794):662-664.
- 2. Berger M, Allen JA. Muscle Nerve. 2015;51(3):315–326.
- 3. Pirofsky B. Am J Med. 1984;30,76(3A):53-60.
- 4. Waldmann TA, Strober W. Prog Allergy. 1969;13:1–110.
- 5. Bonilla FA. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2008;28(4):803-819.



Pharmacokinetics of IVIG

- IgG catabolism is slow¹
 - Saturable endothelial cell receptor, FcRn, protects IgG from lysosomal degradation²
- FcRn receptor saturation with exogenous IVIG keeps endogenous pathologic IgG from recycling and increases its degradation^{1,3}



This is likely to be an important concentration-dependent mechanism by which IVIG can compete with autoantibodies without affecting their production

FcRn: neonatal Fc (Fragment crystallizable) receptor

- 1. Bonilla FA. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2008;28(4):803-819.
- 2. Yu Z, Lennon VA. N Engl J Med. 1999;340(3):227-228.
- 3. Roopenian DC, Akilesh S. Nat Rev Immunol. 2007;7(9):715-725.



Other dosing considerations

- Pharmacodynamic variability¹
 - FcRn receptor expression
 - IVIG treatment naïve
 - Chronic Ig exposure
- CIDP immunopathology variability²
 - Myelin vs axon vs nodal dysfunction
- Disease activity (severity) variability³

The optimal IVIG dose and treatment interval required to achieve and maintain maximum benefit is unknown but is likely variable between individuals... and perhaps in a single individual at different disease stages

- 1. Kuitwaard K et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2013;84(8):859-861.
- 2. Dalakas MC. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014;1852(4):658 366.
- 3. Kuitwaard K et al. Ann Neurol. 2009;66(5):597-603.



How do we individualize treatment?

How do we individualize treatment?

- The following needs to be assessed:
 - Is our treatment working?
 - Is our treatment working because the neuropathy is better?

Assessment of neurological outcomes



^a Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands ^b Spaarne Hospital, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands



Table 1

Overview of the minimum core set, recommendations, and future needs.

| | GBS | CIDP | MMN | MGUSP |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Minimal core set | | | | |
| Impairment level | Martin Vigorimeter RT-mISS | Martin Vigorimeter RT-mISS | Martin Vigorimeter Patient-specific muscle testing | Not yet defined; further study required |
| | Being ventilated (Y/N) Duration of ventilation | 'Manual muscle testing' | RT-MRC scores | |
| Activity and participation level | R-ODS GBS disability scale | R-ODS Original INCAT disability score | R-ODS MMN | See above |
| Quality of life level | - | 5-PGIC SF-36 | RT-QoL scale | See above |
| Recommendations | | | | |
| Impairment level | RT-MRCss Original MRCss RT-FSS | 11-PI-NRS RT-FSS | - | RT-mISS |
| Activity and participation level | | - | - | R-ODS Original INCAT 10-point |
| Quality of life level | | - | - | PGIC SF36 or Euro-QoL |
| Future needs | | | | |
| Impairment level | Pain Muscle dynamometer/RT- MRCss | RT-MRCss Pain Walking test | - | Define core set Pain Ataxia Tremor 9-hole PEG test |
| Activity and participation level | Cross-cultural R-ODS | Cross-cultural R-ODS | Expanding the R-ODS | Define core set |
| Quality of life level | RT-QoL scale | RT-QoL scale | RT-QoL scale | RT-QoL scale |

RT, Rasch transformed; mISS, modified INCAT sensory sumscore; R-ODS, Rasch-built overall disability scale; MRCss, Medical Research Council sum score; FSS, fatigue severity scale; 5-PGIC, 5-points patient global impression of change; 11-PI-NRS, 11-point pain-intensity numerical rating scale; QoL, quality of life.

RODS disability score^{1–3}

- Developed for patients with inflammatory neuropathies
- Captures clinically meaningful changes over time
- May be a good way to define a patient as a treatment responder
- Completed in 2–3
 minutes

| | | INSTRUCTIONS: T your health. Your a and social activities | nswers give I | Information a | |
|------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| A | re you able to | Mark the best option with "x" | | | |
| Task | | Not possible to perform [0] | Possible, but with some difficulty [1] | Possible, without any difficulty [2] | |
| | | | | | |
| 1. | read a newspaper/book? | | | | |
| 2. | eat? | | | | |
| з. | brush your teeth? | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. | wash upper body? | | | | |
| 5. | sit on a tollet? | | | | |
| 6. | make a sandwich? | | | | |
| 7. | dress upper body? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 8. | wash lower body? | | | | |
| 9. | move a chair? | | | | |
| 10. | turn a key in a lock? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 11. | go to the general practitioner? | | | | |
| 12. | take a shower? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 13 | do the dishes? | | | | |

RODS for GBS – CIDP - MGUSE

GBS: Guillain-Barré syndrome, RODS: Rasch-built Overall Disability Scale, MGSUP: monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance related polyneuropathy

- 1. Draak TH et al. Neurology. 2014;2;83(23):2124-2132.
- 2. van Nes SI et al. Neurology. 2011;25;76(4):337-345.
- RODS for GBS-CIDP. Available at: <u>http://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/neurology_neurosurgery/centers_clinics/peripheral_nerve/patient_info/RODS</u> <u>%20for%20GBS-CIDP%202.pdf</u>. Accessed Mar 2016.



Grip strength measurements^{1–3}



- Grip strength is a sensitive tool for assessing clinically relevant changes in patients with CIDP
- Reliable measure of global strength in CIDP, not limited to upper limb or exclusively motor function
- It is not a time consuming procedure
- 1. Vanhoutte EK et al. Eur J Neurol. 2013;20(5):748–755.
- 2. Draak TH et al. Neurology. 2014;2;83(23):2124-2132.
- 3. Rajabally YA, Narasimhan M. J Neurol Sci. 2013;325(1-2):36 38.



A pathway to dose optimization



An approach to optimize IVIG during the treatment of CIDP



Discuss the objective of treatment with patients

Load IVIG: 2 g/kg bw over 2–5 consecutive days¹ **Maintenance IVIG:** 1 g/kg over 1–2 days every 3 weeks¹

Reassess neurologic examination, RODS, and grip strength at 1 and 3 months

- If no objective improvement by 3 months, stop IVIG
 - Patients that respond to IVIG usually do so by the third infusion
 - If no improvement, refer to neuromuscular specialist for reconsideration of diagnosis and treatment
- If clear sustained improvement at 3 months, begin IVIG taper once improvement plateaus
 - 13–30% of patients require only a single course of IVIG^{2,3}
- If there is improvement but it is not sustained through the infusion cycle (wear-off), shorten the infusion interval
 - 20%–60% of patients might benefit with dosing intervals <15 days^{4,5}
- 1. Hughes RAC *et al.* Lancet Neurol. 2008(2);7:136–144.
- 2. Van den Bergh PY et al. Eur J Neurol. 2010;17(3):356–363.
- 3. Rajabally YA. Muscle Nerve. 2015;51(5):657-661.
- 4. Rajabally YA et al. J Neurol. 2013;260(8):2052–2056.
- 5. Kuitwaard K et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2013;84(8):859-861.



An approach to optimize IVIG during the treatment of CIDP

During IVIG taper, periodically reassess examination, RODS, grip strength

- Spread infusion interval to 4 weeks, then 5 weeks, then 6 weeks over several months
- Decrease dose by 20% each month over several months
 - If objective decline or if clinically relevant wear-off emerges then hold dose at lowest effective dose

During IVIG escalation, periodically reassess exam, RODS, grip strength

- Shorten infusion interval to 2 weeks or even 1 week
- Increase dose by 20% each month over several months
 - If objective stabilization or if clinically relevant wear off resolves then hold dose at lowest effective dose

During stable maintenance IVIG escalation, periodically reassess

• Repeat dose reduction or dose escalation trials at 6 month intervals to continually assess the need for ongoing treatment and individualized dosing optimization

If frequent high dose or long-term IVIG is required, then subspecialty neuromuscular consultation for consideration of other treatment approaches should be considered

- Corticosteroid and plasma exchange efficacy is documented^{1,2}
- Efficacy of all other immunosuppressant agents is not documented³
- 1. Mehndiratta MM et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;8:CD003906.
- 2. Dyck PJ et al. Ann Neurol. 1982;11(2):136–141.
- 3. Mahdi-Rogers M et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;6:CD003280.



Optimization limitations

- No "best" way to optimize
- No IVIG dosing trials
- Does not account for the role of corticosteroids as first-line treatment